

William M. Audet (waudet@audetlaw.com)
Michael McShane (mmcshane@audetlaw.com)
Adel A. Nadji (anadji@audetlaw.com)
AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP
221 Main Street, Suite 1460
San Francisco CA 94105
Telephone: 415.982.1776
Facsimile: 415.568.2556

*Attorneys for Federal Plaintiffs and
the Dungeness Crab Settlement Class*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Allen Loretz, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Regal Stone, Ltd., Hanjin Shipping, Co., Ltd.,
Synergy Maritime, Ltd., Fleet Management
Ltd., and John Cota, *In Personam*; M/V Cosco
Busan, their engines, tackle, equipment,
appurtenances, freights, and cargo *In Rem*,

Defendants.

Case No. C 07-5800 SC

**STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER
REGARDING NON-SETTLED
CLAIMS**

WHEREAS, putative class actions have been filed on behalf of all commercial fishermen operating in the San Francisco Bay and surrounding ocean areas (“Putative Omnibus Commercial Fishermen Class”) against Defendants arising out of the Cosco Busan Oil Spill of November 7, 2007 (“CBOS”) in federal court and California State court (collectively “Actions”).

WHEREAS, the original federal putative class action complaint was filed on November 15, 2007, as *Chelsea, LLC, et al. v. Regal Stone, Ltd., et al.*, No. 07-5800 and an amended Complaint was filed on November 19, 2007, alleging claims for damages and injunctive and declaratory relief against Defendants (“Federal Court Action”);

1 WHEREAS, a state putative class action was filed on November 20, 2007, entitled
2 *Tarantino, et al. v. Hanjin Shipping*, alleging similar claims for damages and injunctive and
3 declaratory relief against substantially the same Defendants (“Related State Court Action”);

4 WHEREAS, the Parties have concluded a settlement that, finally and fully resolves and
5 dismisses with prejudice the claims of those class members, in both the Federal Court Action
6 and Related State Court Action, that arise out of class members’ activities as commercial
7 Dungeness Crab fishermen against Defendants (“Dungeness Crab Settlement”);

8 WHEREAS, on August 6, 2009, San Francisco Superior Court Judge John Munter
9 certified a commercial herring fishermen subclass (“Herring Subclass”) in the Related State
10 Court Action;

11 WHEREAS, on March 26, 2010, Judge Munter set a trial date of February 14, 2011 for
12 the Related State Court Action (“Trial Date”);

13 WHEREAS, counsel for plaintiffs in the Related State Court Action have represented
14 that, if appropriate, they intend to seek certification of the remainder of the Putative Omnibus
15 Commercial Fishermen Class prior to the Trial Date;

16 WHEREAS, the Parties desire to avoid unnecessary and inefficient duplication of
17 efforts in the Federal Court Action and Related State Court Action;

18 NOW, WHEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and agree:

- 19 1. That after entry of final approval by the Federal Court of the Dungeness Crab
20 Settlement, Plaintiffs will move to dismiss any and all claims remaining
21 in the Federal Court Action without prejudice to proceeding in the
22 Related State Court Action;
- 23 2. The dismissal shall be done without impacting any and all claims asserted by the
24 federal plaintiffs (other than those that arise out of class members’
25 activities as commercial Dungeness Crab fishermen which were
26 dismissed with prejudice in accordance with the Dungeness Crab
27 Settlement), and Plaintiffs may file an Amended Complaint in the
28 Related State Court Action asserting any claims that were previously

1 asserted and not settled in the Federal Court Action (“the Non-Settled
2 Claims”) and such claims and facts asserted in that Amended Complaint
3 shall be deemed to have been asserted as of the date of the filing of the
4 Federal Court Action. The dismissal of the Federal Court Action shall
5 not impact the Non-Settled Claims of the putative class members, by
6 application of potential statute of limitations or otherwise.

7 3. The parties agree that no consideration has been provided or will be provided to
8 obtain this dismissal of the Federal Court Action in favor of the Related
9 State Court Action.

10 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the Parties hereto has caused this Agreement to be
11 executed on its behalf by its duly authorized counsel of record, all as of the day set forth below.

12 **AGREED:**

13 **PLAINTIFFS AND PLAINTIFFS’ CLASS COUNSEL IN THE ACTION**

14 Dated: April 9, 2010

AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP

15 /s/ William M. Audet

16 William M. Audet
17 Michael McShane
18 Adel J. Nadji
19 221 Main Street, Suite 1460
20 San Francisco, CA 94105
21 Telephone: 415.568.2555
Facsimile: 415.568.2556

*Counsel For Federal Court Plaintiff And Proposed
Class Counsel On Behalf Of Dungeness Crab
Settlement Class*

22 Dated: April 9, 2010

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY

23 /s/ Frank M. Pitre

24 Frank M. Pitre
25 Stuart G. Gross
26 Daniel R. Sterrett
27 San Francisco Airport Office Center
28 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
Telephone: 650.697.6000
Facsimile: 650.697.0577

*Counsel For State Court Plaintiffs And Proposed
Class Counsel On Behalf Of Dungeness Crab
Settlement Class*

**SETTLING DEFENDANTS AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS' COUNSEL IN THE
ACTION**

Dated: April 9, 2010

KEESAL, YOUNG & LOGAN PC

/s/ Joseph A. Walsh II

Joseph A. Walsh II
Julie L. Taylor
Julie A. Kole
450 Pacific Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94133
Telephone: 415.398.6000
Facsimile: 415.981.0136

*Counsel For Defendants Regal Stone Limited,
Fleet Management Ltd. and M/V Cosco Busan*

Dated: April 9, 2010

FLYNN, DELICH & WISE LLP

/s/ Erich P. Wise

Erich P. Wise
Conte C. Cicala
One California Street, Suite 350
San Francisco CA 94111
Telephone: 415.693.5566
Facsimile: 415.693.0410

Counsel For Defendant Hanjin Shipping, Co.

Dated: April 9, 2010

COPPENRATH & ASSOCIATES LLP

/s/ Walter Coppenrath

Walter Coppenrath
George Jones
400 Oceangate, Ste. 700
Long Beach, CA 90802
Telephone: 562.216-2948
Facsimile: 562.685-0460

Counsel For Defendant John Cota



1 PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

2
3 DATED: _____, 2010

4 _____
The Honorable Samuel Conti
United States District Judge
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28